

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION
No. 5:21-CR-166-D

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CHARLES MUHAMMAD CUNNINGHAM,


Defendant.

ORDER

On June 3, 2022, the court held a hearing concerning defendant's motions, including his motion to suppress. See [D.E. 53]. At the end of the hearing, the court made its findings of fact and conclusions of law in open court. As explained in open court and incorporated by reference, the court DENIED as meritless defendant's motion to suppress. See [D.E. 53].

At the hearing, the court also explained why it GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART defendant's motion for reconsideration [D.E. 60], DENIED defendant's motion to dismiss [D.E. 62], DENIED defendant's motion for documents [D.E. 64], and DENIED defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction [D.E. 69].

SO ORDERED. This 3 day of June, 2022.


JAMES C. DEVER III
United States District Judge